UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,680	05/01/2007	Sungho Jin	15977-35	9799
	7590 08/31/201 KET ADMINISTRA T	EXAMINER		
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC			VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M	
65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,680	JIN, SUNGHO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KALLAMBELLA VIJAYAKUMAR	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 20-30 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 20-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or other subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed 06/15/2010 has been entered. Claims 1 and 22 were amended.
 Claims 16 and 18-19 cancelled. New claim 30 was added that incorporates the limitations of the claim-11. Claims 1-15, 17 and 20-30 as amended are currently pending with the application.

- Applicant's amendment overcomes the prior art rejections cited in the last office action.
- The indicated allowability of claim-11 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Eastman (US 5,925,198). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- The attempt to condition the application for allowance either by amending or cancelling claim-30 did not materialize.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eastman et al (US 5,728,195).

Eastman et al teach a nanocrystalline multicomponent and multiphase materials comprising predetermined elemental and phase compositions having component grain sizes of approximately between 1 nm to 100 nm. The compositions contained a dispersion of binary compounds such as Al3Zr in a single element Al. The binary compounds included intermetallics

Art Unit: 1793

such as NiAl, Al3Ti, and the elements include Ti, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zr, Pd, Pt and Ag (Abstract, Cl-2, Ln 66 – Cl-3, Ln 19, Cl-3, Ln 42-Cl-4, Ln 43; Cl-5, Ln 29-31, 49-62; Cl-8, Ln 12-14). All the limitations are met.

In the alternative that the disclosure by Eastman et al be insufficient to anticipate the instant claims, the instant claimed composition nonetheless would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art over the disclosure because the reference teaches compositions containing intermetallics dispersed in elemental metals. The burden is upon the applicant to prove otherwise. In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ594 (CCPA 1980). [MPEP 2112 [R-3-V].

Allowable Subject Matter

• Claims 1-15, 17 and 20-29 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

These claims incorporate the claim limitations that were indicated to be allowable in the last office action. An updated search was carried out that did not result in any new prior art that either teaches or fairly suggest a composition containing the specific components with specific properties and their structural relation, and a method of making the composition. Although such a composition may be inherent in the prior art materials, it could not be established with absolute certainty.

Conclusion

The prior art by Das (US 5,925,198) discloses Ni alloys containing dispersion of Ni3Al in Nickel superalloy (Fig-3, Cl-5, Ln 38-42, Cl-9, Ln 39-44). Miyake teaches dispersion of intermetallic nanoparticles in a super aluminum alloy (Cl-2, Ln 20-35; Cl-9, Ln 3-54). Both the

Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

prior arts have not been used in the present rejection as being cumulative to the prior art by Eastman.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KALLAMBELLA VIJAYAKUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-1324. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07-3.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 5712721358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KMV/

August 27, 2010.

/Stanley Silverman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793